Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 770 Filed 05/26/16 Page 1 of 4

JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No. 6769 ORONOZ, ERICSSON & GAFFNEY LLC 2 1050 INDIGO DRIVE, SUITE 120 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 878-2889 4 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 jim@oronozlawyers.com 5 Attorney for Carolyn Willis-Casev 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. CASE NO: 2:12-cr-463-JCM-VCF 11 Plaintiff, 12 VS. STIPULATION TO CONTINUE 13 SENTENCING HEARING CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY, 14 (2nd Request) Defendant. 15 16 17 18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between JIAMIE CHEN, 19 Assistant United States Attorney; J. GREGORY DAMM, Assistant United States Attorney; 20 and JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ., counsel for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY that the sentencing 21 hearing currently scheduled for June 2, 2016, at the hour of 1:30 p.m., be continued to a time 22

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

defendant, Denise Williams.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing Hearing is reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule."

convenient to the Court, preferably on June 30, 2016, at the same time as the sentencing for co-

1	2. Ms. Willis-Casey recently received a revised presentence investigation report, and requesting additional time to review the revised presentence investigation report an			
2		prepare the arguments for the sentencing memorandum.		
3	3. Defense counsel for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY has spoken to his client, who is currently in custody, and she has no objection to the continuance.			
4		currently in custody, and she has no objection	to the continuance.	
5	4.	The additional time requested herein is not so	ight for purposes of delay.	
6	5. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for the defendar sufficient time, to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing. Accordingly, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.			
7				
8		This is the second stipulation to continue filed	herein	
9	This is the second supulation to continue from herein.			
10		DATED: May 26, 2016		
11		DATED: May 26, 2016.		
12			/s/ Jiamie Chen	
13			IAMIE CHEN, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney	
14		Las Vegas, NV 89145 J. GREGORY DAMM, ESQ.		
15	Couns	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Assistant United States Attorney 01 Las Vegas Blvd. South, #1100	
		L	as Vegas, NV 89101	
16		C	Counsel for United States	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	ļ			
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 771 Filed 05/27/16 Page 2 of 4
Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 770 Filed 05/26/16 Page 2 of 4

Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 770 Filed 05/26/16 Page 3 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

CASE NO: 2:12-cr-463-JCM-VCF

VS.

CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the

Court finds that:

- 1. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing Hearing is reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule."
- 2. Ms. Willis-Casey recently received a revised presentence investigation report, and is requesting additional time to review the revised presentence investigation report and prepare the arguments for the sentencing memorandum.
- 3. Defense counsel for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY has spoken to his client, who is currently in custody, and she has no objection to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 5. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant sufficient time, to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

This is the second stipulation to continue filed herein.

Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 770 Filed 05/26/16 Page 4 of 4

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public in proceeding with the sentencing hearing as scheduled, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the defendants sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the sentencing hearing for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY currently scheduled for June 2, 2016, at the hour of 1:30 p.m. be vacated and continued to the 30th day of June, 2016 at 10:30 a.m.

DATED AND DONE this day of day of , 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE